

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. SOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/800,127	03/06/2001	Richard E. Riman	P-24,186 USA	. 3817	
75	90 06/06/2003		*		
Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP			EXAMINER		
1101 Market Street 2600 Aramark Tower			LEVY,	LEVY, NEIL S	
Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616	1	
		•	DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003	. <i>U</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 800/27	Applicant(s) AN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner (pro Group	Art-Unit #/
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she	et beneath the correspon	dence address—
Period for Reply	\cap		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM 1	THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defar a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set 	reply within the statutory mult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	inimum of thirty (30) days will be from the mailing date of this co	e considered timely. mmunication .
Status 🤊 /	1227		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	90)		•
☐ This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 			ts is closed in
Disp sition of Claims			1 ×
$S_{\text{Claim}(s)} = (3 - 2 \circ) \cdot 2 \circ $		is/are pending i	n the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawr	from consideration.
Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
Gaim(s) 6 -10, 15-19,		is/are-rejected.	
SI-Claim(s) 11-14, 20		is/are objected t	to.
☐ Claim(s)		•	estriction or election
		requirement.	
Application Papers			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav		ad	. **
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	colog to by the Examina	vi.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner			•
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	•		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies 	-		
□ received.		· ·	
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the 	•	CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:		<u> </u>	
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosur Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape	No(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTC	D-413
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Pater	t Application, PTO-152	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Review, PTO	948	□ Other	
Off	ice Action Summary		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,127

Art Unit: 1616

Receipt is acknowledged of 11/6/02 request and amendment of 2/6/03 with declaration.

The inventor, Chen, Hagteen added.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 6-10, 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yasukawa et al '96.

The instant preparation method as claimed is shown: mechanochemical preparation in water of a Ca, Mg and P source (Top col. 2, of P. 1401) were stirred at room temp. Crystalline Mg-HAP resulted. Table 1 shows Molar ratios as described. Separating washing was then done, then drying. The instant claim 16-19 ion sources are all simulateneously presents thus Mg OH, Ca OH, Ca PO₄ are present.

Applicant's arguments filed 2/6/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants arguments, to extent persuasive, have resulted in withdrawal of rejection. However, there are no quantitative limitations, and no specific claimed mechano chemical processes thus the now cited art preclueles allowance.

Claims 11-14, 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 29 is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is 308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday- Friday 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on 308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 308-4242 for regular communications and 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1235.

Levy/tgd May 16, 2003

> NEIL S. LEVY PRIMARY EXAMINER